# Computer modeling in irrigation and drainage for the control of water logging and soil salinization in agricultural lands in India using SaltMod's modernized version SaltModM

## R.J. Oosterbaan

January 2022 On line: www.waterlog.info/saltmod.htm

### Abstract

In the conference paper of the Central Soil Salinity Research Institue (Karnal, Haryana) and Alterra (Wageningen, the Netherlands), published in 2002, the original version of SaltMod was used to assess water logging and soil salinity in the experimental fields of Sampla (Haryana), Gohana and Lakhuwali (Rajastan), Uppugunduru and Konanki (Andhra Pradesh), Upper Krishna and Tungabdadra command areas (Karnataka). See the reference. In this paper. the modernized version (SaltModM) will be applied using the same data and it will be shown that the results are the same. The main advantage of SaltModM is the improvement of the input menu making the operation more clear. Apart from SaltMod, also the models SWAP and UNSATCHEM were tested. It was observed, however, that to extend their use to other sites would require dedicated efforts in assembling the input data. On the other hand, the SALTMOD is based on simplified concepts and are therefore, less demanding on inputs. It was concluded that SALTMOD is a very useful tool for prediction of salinity of soil water, groundwater and drainage water, the depth of the water table and the drain discharge in irrigated agricultural land under varying water management options. Its simplicity of operation and minimal requirement of data that are generally available should help in promoting its use by the engineers to obtain the results that could be useful for the planners.

# Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Differences between SaltMod and SaltModM
- 3. Illustration of results of SaltModM
  - 3.1 Konanki pilot area
  - 3.2 Segwa pilot area
  - 3.3 Tungabhadra pilot area
- 4. Conclusions
- 5. References
- 6. Appendices
  - 6a. Data Konanki
  - 6b.Data Segwa
  - 6c. Data Tungabhadra
  - 6d. Drainage parameters

#### 1. Introduction

SaltMod is computer program for the prediction of the salinity of soil moisture, ground water and drainage water, the depth of the water table, and the drain discharge in irrigated agricultural lands, using different (geo)hydrologic conditions, varying water management options, including the use of ground water for irrigation, and several cropping rotation schedules.

The water management options include irrigation, drainage, and the use of subsurface drainage water from pipe drains, ditches or wells for irrigation.

The computer program was originally made in Fortran by R.J. Oosterbaan and Isabel Pedroso de Lima at ILRI. A user shell in Turbopascal was developed by H. Ramnandanlal, and improved by R.A.L. Kselik of ILRI, to facilitate the management of input and output data.

Now, a Windows version is available, written in Delphi by Oosterbaan.

The program was designed keeping in mind a relative simplicity of operation to promote its use by field technicians and project planners. It aims at using input data that are generally available, or that can be estimated with reasonable accuracy, or that can be measured with relative ease. SaltMod has been used and tested extensively. A selection of reports and publications on the use of SaltMod is given in *Reference 2*.

A combination of SaltMod with a polygonal groundwater model (SahysMod) is also available, see <u>http://www.waterlog.info/sahysmod.htm</u>

Most of the computer models available for water and solute transport in the soil (e.g. Swap, Swatre, Drainmod) are based on Richard's differential equation for the movement of water in unsaturated soil in combination with a differential salinity dispersion equation. The models require input of soil characteristics like the relation between unsaturated soil moisture content, water tension, hydraulic conductivity and dispersivity. These relations vary to a great extent from place to place and are not easy to measure. The models use short time steps and need at least a daily data base of hydrologic phenomena. Altogether this makes model application to a fairly large project the job of a team of specialists with ample facilities.

There is a need for a computer program that is easier to operate and that requires a simpler data structure. Therefore, the SaltMod program was designed keeping in mind a relative simplicity of operation to promote its use by field technicians, engineers and project planners. It aims at using input data that are generally available, or that can be estimated with reasonable accuracy, or that can be measured with relative ease. Although the calculations are done numerically and have to be repeated many times, the final results can be checked by hand using the formulas in this manual.

The SWAP and the UNSATCHEM, being physically based models, besides practical applications had strong advantages in understanding the physical processes. It was observed however, that to extend their use to other sites would require dedicated efforts in assembling the input data. On the other hand, the SALTMOD is based on simplified concepts and are therefore, less demanding on inputs [*Reference 1*].

SaltMod aims at predicting the long-term hydro-salinity in terms of general trends, not in exact predictions of how, for example, the situation would be on the first of April in ten years from now. It is a specialty of SaltMod that the user can define the relevant cropping pattern and the crop rotation practices for which the calculations are specifically performed.

Further, SaltMod gives the option of the re-use of drainage and well water and it can account for farmers' responses to water logging, soil salinity, water scarcity and over-pumping from the aquifer. Also it offers the possibility to introduce subsurface drainage systems at varying depths and with varying capacities so that they can be optimized.

*Figure 1* gives a picture of the some of the hydrological factors considered in Saltmod and it concerns two neighboring area A and B with different irrigated crops while the soil is divided into three layers. The button "Symbols list" helps in finding the definitions of the symbols used.



Figure 1. Some of the hydrological factors considered in Saltmod and it concerns two neighboring area A and B with different irrigated crops while the soil is divided into three layers. The button "Symbols list" helps in finding the definitions of the symbols used.

# 2. Differences between SaltMod and SaltModM

The equations used in SaltMod and SaltModM are the same and they can be consulted in *Reference 3*. The main difference rests with the input menu, see *Figures 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d and 3*.

		o-Hydro-Salinit	y Model						_		×
ile I		Figure 1 ou									
Intro	Input	Figure Ou	utput Grap	nics							
E	le	D:\Werkm	appen\Win	Models\S	altMod gr	oup\SaltM	od updates	8b_2 (as 8	b_1 +	symbol	s ch
Т	itle1	- FILE	NAME: MPB	ASIC.INP	I	NPUT TO S	ALTMOD				
Т	Title2	- Basi	c data Mas	shtul Pil	ot Area,	Nile Delt	a, Egypt,	1981/82			
Exp	lanatio	n									
of	symbol	Click in	n a data :	field to	see the e	xplanatio	n				
	Area	Ns	Kd	Kf	Kr						î
1	52.8	2 -	yes 🝷	no	-  4 <u>-</u>	·		sion with a r			
	Ny	Ку						menu can i able on requ		eely	
2	10	no 💌	]								
-	Ts1	Ts2	Ts3	Ts4	_						
3	5	7	-1	-1							
	A1	B1	A2	B2	<u>A3</u>	<u>B3</u>	<u>A4</u>	<u>B4</u>			
4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	Irrigated B are	a (under grou	p B crops) dur	ing season 2 as	a fraction	n of tota	area
	RcA1	RcB1	RcA2	RcB2	RcA3	RcB3	RcA4	RcB4			
5	0	1	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-1			
	PLc1	IRo1	PLc2	IRo2	PLc3	IRo3	PLc4	IRo4			
6	0.046	0	0.03	0	-1	-1	-1	-1			~
				ſ	Symbols	Kr H		ave / run	Oner	innut	
					Symbols		eih 2	ave/full	Open	n input f	ne
it the	data. Then	use "Save/run	", after which	calculations	will be done.						

Figure 2a. Top part of the SaltMod input menu. In the blue line we see a suggestion for an explanation of the symbols used. For example by clicking on the field B2 we see a hint in yellow color. Further the complete list of symbols van be found by clicking on the Symbols button (green square). The Kr symbol (top right, brown square), which stands for the type of crop rotation, is given an extra explanation (orange square). The group of input edits that has been disabled, standing for seasons 3 and 4 in a year, fall beyond the scope of the this data sheet, because the model id defined for only two seasons in a year (purple square).

Figure 2a is continued on the next page.

	laA1	EpA1	laA2	EpA2	laA3	EpA3	IaA4	EpA4	<i>Figure 2b.</i>
7	0.53	0.45	0.5	0.45	-1	-1	-1	-1	Second part of
8	laB1 0.8	EpB1 0.5	aB2 0	EpB2	laB3	EpB3	laB4	EpB4	SaltMod input
9	Prec1	EpU1 0.3	Prec2	EpU2 0.3	Prec3	EpU3	Prec4	EpU4	menu
10	FsA1	FsB1 0.6	FsA2 0.8	FsB2 0.6	FsA3	FsB3	FsA4	FsB4	
11	FsU1	FsU2	FsU3	FsU4	1	1	1		
12	GWi1 0	GWo1 0.06	GWi2 0	GWo2 0.08	GWi3	GWo3	GWi4	GWo4	
13	SiU1 0	SoU1 0	SiU2 0	SoU2	SiU3	SoU3	SiU4	SoU4	Figure 2c.
14	SoA1	SoB1	SoA2	SoB2	SoA3	SoB3	SoA4	SoB4	Third part of SaltMod input
15	PGw1	FPw1	PGw2	FPw2	PGw3	FPw3	PGw4	FPw4	menu
16	Dro 0.6	PTro 0.5	Dtr 6	PTtr 0.5	Daq 50	PTaq 0.6		,	
17	PEro 0.05	FLro 1	PEtr 0.05	FLtr 1	PEaq 0.1	FLaq 1	1		
18	SCtr 0	SCaq 0	SCic 0	SCigw 0	SCrain 0				
19	Ca0	Сb0 10	Cu0	Dw0	Dcr 0				Figure 2d.
20	Ddr 1.35	QH1 0.003	QH2 0	SDu1	SDu2	SDu3	SDu4		Last part of SatMod input
21	SCtrA 0	SCtrB 0	Frd1 0	Frd2	Frd3	Frd4			menu. In total there are 21 line
end									of data.
					Symbols	Kr H	elp s	ave / run	Use Save/run
		n of Figu							(red square) for the calculations.

Continuation of *Figure 2a*.



Figure 3. Input menu of the modernized version of SaltMod's model called SaltModM. The input page is more compact. In this example the generalized data (blue square) are visible and can be edited. The relevant symbols are clarified in the green field. The yellow line is a cursor hint for the symbol Kf specifying the option to simulate the farmers' responses (Yes/No). The other data can be accessed using the various radio buttons in the select data group table (brown square). Two items in the list of selectable data groups are grayed out. They are not applicable because in the general data group the Kp option indicates that there is no pumped well system present (orange square). The operational buttons right under are the same as those in the classic model and clarified in figures 2a and 2d.

It is a specialty of SaltModM to offer the user the choice of letting him run the program in one go for the total number of years specified (Ny, green square) or letting him pause the program after each year so that eventual input values (for example the rainfall) can be adjusted year by year. This choice can be accomplished by means of the Ky index (purple square).

Instead of pausing the program annually, it is also possible to enter all annual data per season in the input menu of the extended modernized SaltModM program called SaltModMY (*Figure 4*).



Figure 4. The extended program SaltModMY allows the specification of annually varying seasonal input values. For that reason an extra tab sheet has been added (purple square). This results in a shorter list of basic inputs (red square) compared to the list in SaltModM (Figure 3).

In *Figure 4*, the tab sheet that has been opened is that of the basics inputs. The tab sheet that can be opened for annually varying seasonal data is next to it (purple square). *Figure 5* shows a picture of what the tab sheet for annual data looks like.

tro   Figure   Basic Input Annu	al Input Out	out Graphi	ics				
Data can be pasted							
When finished,	Seasonal	y irrigated a	rea fraction	is (0 < frac	tion <1)	-	
goto Basic Input							
Select data group			1		1.		
Irrigated areas	Year	Season	Area A	Area B	L	^	
C Rice cropping	1	1	0.8	0.2			
C Irrigation quantity	1	2	1	0			
C Climatic data	2	1	0.8	0.2			
C Drainage control/reuse	2	2	1	0			
C Surface run-in/off	3	1	0.8	0.2			
C Surface drainage	3	2	1	0			
C Pumping from wells	4	1	0.8	0.2			
	4	2	1	0			
Fill columns with	5	1	0.8	0.2			
the same value	5	2	1	0			
	6	1	0.8	0.2			
	6	2	1	0	~		
			-				

Edit the data. Then use "Save/run", after which calculations will be done. Figure 5. The SaltModMY tab sheet for annually varying seasonal inputs (purple square) show a list of items from which the irrigated areas radio button (red square) has been selected. There are two areas (A and B) with different crops. In this example there is no annual variation, but it can be introduced.

# **3. Illustration of results of SaltModM**

The output menu of SaltMod gives the option to select output categories (groups) as demonstrated in the next figure.

ile Ed			. gro riya	o Soil Salinity Model					Х
Intro	Input	Figure	Output	Graphics					
			→ →	<ul> <li>Soil salinities rootzone</li> <li>Other salinities</li> <li>Salt storage at soil surface (Zs)</li> <li>Drain and well discharge</li> <li>Depth of the water table (Dw)</li> <li>Percolation from the root zone</li> <li>Capillary rise into the rootzone</li> <li>Canal/field irrigation, bypass</li> <li>Irrigation efficiency/sufficiency</li> <li>Actual evaporation fallow land</li> <li>Crop area fractions</li> <li>Rotation key</li> <li>See the frequency of salinity</li> </ul>	Go				
				Output groups Close output	Symbols	Group file	Open	output f	ile

Figure 3A. Output groups (categories, purple square) from which the root zone soil salinity (blue arrow), the drain discharge (green arrow) and the depth of the water table (orange arrow) will be selected in the following illustrations of the SaltModM applications to 3 pilot areas in India.

## 3.1 Konanki pilot area

The Konanki pilot area is approximately 300 km east of Hyderabad and 80 km away from the coast h South India. The area is waterlogged with depth to water table varying from O to 3.74 m and had salinity and sodicity problems (1.3 < EC, < 30 dS/m; 7.2 < pH < 10.0; 14.1 < ESP < 54.6). A pipe drainage system was installed in an area of 8 ha in the central part of the pilot area in May 1999. On the northern side of the collector drain, ten pipe drains at a spacing of 30 m and on the southern side, five pipe drains at double spacing of 60 m were installed at a depth varying from 0.9 to 1.1 m below the ground surface. An open (deep) drainage system with three drains of 1 m depth at a spacing of 100 m was also constructed in the northern part of the pilot area. The topography of the pilot area permitted gravity outflow at the end of the open drains and collector drain to dispose off the collected drain water into the natural drain, which is flowing north at the eastern side of the pilot area. A

Apart from monitoring the performance of drainage systems in the pilot area, the salt and water balance studies were initiated in the pilot area in the year 2000. As a part of these studies, the quantity and quality of all the inflows and outflows are being measured at regular intervals. The canal water entering the pilot area is being measured with Parshall flumes installed at the three inlet points. In the pilot area, groundwater is not used for irrigation and hence it was not considered in the study. A standard rain gauge and a Class A pan were installed.

Evapotranspiration is being estimated using the pan evaporation data and crop coefficients. Other meteorological data are collected from the nearby Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) observatory. Discharges from individual lateral pipe drains and outlets of drainage systems are being measured regularly. The depth to groundwater table in the observation wells as well as battery of piezometers is also being measured regularly. The data related to water quality are being collected at fortnightly intervals. Soil salinity is being measured in May every year in 3 layers, viz. 0-20 cm, 21-50 cm and 51-100 cm at 58 grid locations uniformly spread over the pilot area.

Using the raw data collected at the Konanki pilot area, most of the parameters are estimated for use in SALTMOD input file (Tables 16 and 17 as copied in *Appendix 6a*). Values of few of the parameters are assumed logically. Some of the parameters, notably the natural drainage of groundwater through the aquifer and the leaching efficiencies of the root zone and transition zone could not be measured. Before applying SALTMOD, these factors were determined by running trials with SALTMOD using different values of leaching efficiency and natural drainage. Values were selected that produced soil salinity and depths to water table that matched well with the actually measured values.

Graphs of the output variables soil salinity of the root zone, depth of the groundwater table, and drain discharge are depicted in the next figures. The model is made with 3 seasons of 3, 4 and 5 moths respectively. In the first season green manuring is done on the rainfall without irrigation, in the second season rice (paddy) is grown under irrigation, while in the last season the land was left fallow.



Figure 3a. A selection of the output results of SaltMod used in the Konanki pilot area.

The leaching efficiency of the root zone has been calibrated, see Figure 3a.4.



Figure 3a.4 Calibration of the leaching efficiency Flr of the root zone (copied from Reference 1)

Comparing the assumed Flr values with the observed ones the conclusion can be drawn that the actual Flr value is close to 0.9, meaning that the salt concentration of the downward percolation water is 90% of that of soil moisture in the root zone. The percolation water flows mainly through the larger soil pores so that the salts in the smaller pores are not washed out.

## 3.2 Segwa pilot area. Kakrapur irrigation command, South Gujarat, India

A 188 ha block in Segwa minor of Surat branch in Kakrapar irrigation command was selected for operational research on drainage and related water management. The area is bounded in the North by Surat branch, a natural drain in South, Segwa minor in the west and a cart road in the East. This area is about 10 km inside towards East of Bombay-Ahmedabad road (National highway No. 8). About one third of the area on the eastern side of this road is irrigated by tube wells while the remaining part is irrigated through canal water. Conjunctive use of water is also made in some parts of the canal command. Waterlogging coupled with initiation of secondary salinisation is deteriorating the soil health and crop productivity in the pilot area.

The data used in SALTMOD are collected before and after the installation of drainage system. The model was calibrated by using the data on cropping pattern, water table, hydraulic conductivity, salinity status, leaching efficiencies, drain discharges etc. The input parameters used in calibrating the model are shown in Tables 21 and 22 in *Appendix 6b*.

Graphs of the output variables soil salinity of the root zone, depth of the groundwater table, and drain discharge are depicted in the next figures. The model is made with 3 seasons of 5, 4 and 3 months respectively. In the first season green sugarcane and rice (paddy) are cultivated, in the second season only sugarcane is grown under irrigation, while in the last season the land was is used again by both sugarcane and rice. However 25% of the land is left barren (fallow).



Figure 3b. A selection of the output results of SaltMod used in the Segwa pilot area.

## 3.3 Tungabhadra pilot area

The Tungabhadra irrigation project is a major inter-state irrigation project of peninsular India. It was commissioned during 1953 with an irrigation potential of 3.63 lakh hectares in Karnataka and 1.6 lakh hectares in Andhra Pradesh. The project was designed for protective irrigation and almost three-fourth of the command area was earmarked for light irrigated crops.

The topography of the Left Bank Command area is rather undulating and only occasionally gives the impression of a flat plain. The canal is a contour canal and forms the boundary of the command areas of two adjacent distributaries. Field experiments on an interceptor drainage system were conducted during 1998-2001 at distributary D-36/1, covering an area of 62 ha. This distributary runs on a well-defined ridge perpendicular to the main canal. A three-tier interceptor drain of 10 cm diameter was laid at a depth of 0.75 m from the surface to intercept the incoming seepage flows from canal and prevent waterlogging and soil salinisation in low lying areas. The drains were laid at a spacing of 150 m running parallel to D-36/1 near Sindhanur during 1998. The drain placement is about 100 m away from the natural drain (Vade halla) and 1500 m from the canal. The interceptor drains are laid with corrugated and perforated PVC pipes with filters.

Performance of interceptor drainage system was evaluated in terms of changes in soil salinity, depth to water table, crop yield, cropping intensity, etc. The study was initiated during kharif 1998 and continued up to kharif 2001. Changes in soil salinity due to interceptor drainage system were evaluated by collecting soil samples at 12 grids points. The performance of interceptor drainage system was also monitored in terms of changes in depth to water table. Water table depth was recorded in each crop season after the harvest of the crop. To assess the amount of salts removed from the study area, drain discharge was measured periodically and analysed for its quality. To study the individual performance of the three parallel interceptor drains, individual drain discharge and its salinity was measured separately during Aug. 2000 to May 2001. These three drains removed almost same quantity of drainage water and their salt concentration is also more or less similar. It indicates that the drainage water removed through the drains is mostly from the paddy area than intercepted seepage from the canal.

The model uses 4 seasons of 5, 1, 4 and 2 months. During the 1<sup>st</sup> season under water rice (paddy) is grown, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season the land is left fallow, During the 3<sup>rd</sup> season again paddy is cultivated, while in the last season the land is left fallow again. The input parameters used in the model are shown in Tables 21 and 22 in *Appendix 6c*.



Figure 3bc A selection of the output results of SaltMod used in the Tungabhadra pilot area.

# 4. Conclusions

SaltMod is a very useful model for prediction of salinity of soil moisture, groundwater and drainage water, the depth of the water table and the drain discharge in irrigated agricultural land under varying water management options. Its simplicity of operation and minimum requirement of field data promotes its use by field engineers to obtain results that could be useful for planners (Reference 1).

The model SaltMod for the Segwa minor canal command, once it was calibrated and validated with the data collected in the Segwa pilot area, predicted fairly correct trends for both the water table and soil salinity. Canal command areas are likely to get salinised if appropriate drainage intervention is not introduced. SaltMod is an effective tool to forecast water table and soil salinity under various situations and therefore, could help in the design of drainage systems once the basic input parameters are known (Reference 1).

As an important conclusion, in the report "*Research on the control of water logging and salinization in irrigated agricultural lands*" (*Reference 1*) is that several scenarios were developed to test a number of alternatives for the drainage system and the irrigation regime considering different designs while securing a safe control of the soil salinity and the level of the groundwater table, while assessing a possible reduction of irrigation applications.

For Konanki, one of the scenarios concerns the consequences of using different subsurface drainage systems, to check if cheaper systems with a wider drain spacing is allowable, or whether a more expensive system with greater intensity would be recommendable.

*Figure 3a.5* illustrates the depth of the water table under the present drainage system with capacity factor  $QH1 = 0.005 \text{ (m}^2/\text{day})$ , with a halved capacity factor QH1 = 0.0025 representing a cheaper system with wider drain spacing, and with a doubled capacity factor (QH1 = 0.01) which stands for a more expensive drainage system with narrower drain spacing.



Figure 3a.5. When the drainage capacity  $QH1 = 0.005 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$ , corresponding to the actual subsurface drainage system (blue color), the depth of the water table tends to reach an equilibrium value of 0.6 m, which is usually acceptable. At a higher capacity QH1 = 0.01 (rose color), the depth of the water table gets deeper and achieves an average value of 0.75 m. At a lower capacity QH1 = 0.0025, the depth becomes shallower at an average of 0.4 m, which under most condition is not enough as the water table enter the root zone.

SaltModM offers the possibility to calculate the drainage capacity factor QH1 (and also a second factor QH2 accounting for the drainage flow above drain level) form the parameters of a subsurface drainage system as explained in *Appendix 6d*,

# 5. References

Reference 1.

Research on the control of water logging and salinization in irrigated agricultural lands. Computer Modeling in Irrigation and Drainage. Conference paper published in 2002 in India by Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal (India) and Alterra- International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, Wageningen (The Netherlands) On line: <u>https://edepot.wur.nl/25913https://edepot.wur.nl/25913</u> or:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/40794959\_Research\_on\_the\_control\_of\_waterlogging\_ and\_salinization\_in\_irrigated\_agricultural\_lands\_recommendations\_on\_waterlogging\_and\_salini ty\_control\_based\_on\_pilot\_area\_drainage\_research

Reference 2.

*List of publications in which SaltMod is used. On line:* <u>https://www.waterlog.info/pdf/SaltModlist.rtf</u>

Reference 3.

*SaltMod. Description of Principles, User Manual, and Examples of Application.* On website <u>https://www.waterlog.info/pdf/saltmod.pdf</u>

# 6. Appendices

The data presented in the following sections were obtained as copies from pages in the project report (Reference 1).

# Appendix 6a. Konanki pilot area, Nagarjuna Sagar project, Andra Pradesh

The Konanki pilot area is approximately 300 km east of Hyderabad and 80 km away from the coast of South India. The area is waterlogged with depth to water table varying from O to 3.74 m and had salinity and sodicity problems (1.3 < EC, < 30 dS/m; 7.2 < pH < 10.0; 14.1 < ESP < 54.6). A pipe drainage system was installed in an area of 8 ha in the central part of the pilot area in May 1999 (Fig. 8). On the northern side of the collector drain, ten pipe drains at a spacing of 30 m and on the southern side, five pipe drains at double spacing of 60 m were installed at a depth varying from 0.9 to 1.1 m below the ground surface. An open (deep) drainage system with three drains of 1 m depth at a spacing of 100 m was also constructed in the northern part of the pilot area. The topography of the pilot area permitted gravity outflow at the end of the open drains and collector drain to dispose off the collected drain water into the natural drain, which is flowing north at the eastern side of the pilot area.

Apart from monitoring the performance of drainage systems in the pilot area, the salt and water balance studies were initiated in the pilot area in the year 2000. As a part of these studies, the quantity and quality of all the inflows and outflows are being measured at regular intervals. The canal water entering the pilot area is being measured with Parshall flumes installed at the three inlet points (Fig. 8). In the pilot area, groundwater is not used for irrigation and hence it was not considered in the study. A standard rain gauge and a Class A pan were installed. Evapotranspiration is being estimated using the pan evaporation data and crop coefficients. Other meteorological data are collected from the nearby Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) observatory. Discharges from individual lateral pipe drains and outlets of drainage systems are being measured regularly. The depth to groundwater table in the observation wells as well as battery of piezometers is also being measured regularly. The data related to water quality are being collected at fortnightly intervals. Soil salinity is being measured in May every year in 3 layers, viz. 0-20 cm, 21-50 cm and 51-100 cm at 58 grid locations uniformly spread over the pilot area.

Serial No.	Parameters	uration 13 July to10 October 11 October to12 M (3 months) (5 months)		Season 3
1	Duration			13 March to12 July (4 months)
2	Crops grown			Fallow
3	Source of water	Rainfall No irrigation water	Rainfall and Canal water	Rainfall No irrigation water
4	Fraction of area occupied by irrigated crop		1.0	. · ·
5 ·	Fraction of area with unirrigated crops	1.0	-	-
6	Fallow			1.0
7	Rainfall (m)	0.472	0.250	0.180
8	Canal water used for irrigation (m)	•	0.625	-
9	Groundwater used for irrigation	-	÷ .	
10	Potential evapotranspiration of crops /non-irrigated area (m)	0.354	0.539	0.718
11	Percolation from canal system (m)	<b>.</b>	0.10	-
12	Outgoing surface runoff (m)	0.06	0.10	-

Table 16. Season-wise input parameters for use with SALTMOD

1

Table 17. Other input parameters for use with SALTMOD

Serial N	o. Parameter	Value
1	Storage efficiency	0.75
2	Depth of root zone	0.40
3	Depth of transition zone	1.60
4	Depth of aquifer	7.0
5	Total pore space of root zone	0.40
6	Total pore space of transition zone	0.60
7	Total pore space of aquifer	0.40
8	Effective porosity of root zone	0.045
9	Effective porosity of transition zone	0.045
10	Effective porosity of aquifer	0.10
11	Initial salt content of the soil moisture (dS/m) at field saturation in	1
	-Root zone	11.52
	-Transition zone	12.10
	-Aquifer	3.05
12	Salt concentration of canal water (dS/m)	0.60
13	Initial depth to water table from ground surface	1.65
14	Critical depth of water table for capillary rise	1.50

On the basis of preliminary calibration and application of SALTMOD for salt and water balance modelling of the Konanki pilot area, the following conclusions have been drawn: SALTMOD is a very useful tool for prediction of salinity of soil water, groundwater and drainage water, the depth of the water table and the drain discharge in irrigated agricultural land under varying water management options. Its simplicity of operation and minimal requirement of data that are generally available should help in promoting its use by the engineers to obtain the results that could be useful for the planners. From calibration of the model, the leaching efficiencies of root zone and transition zone in the pilot area are estimated to be 65%. There is a natural outflow of 50 mm of groundwater every year from the pilot area into the natural drain. The model predicts that due to the existence of drainage system, the root zone soil water salinity will be reduced to 4,3 and 2.5 dS/m (from an initial value of 11.5 dS/m) during the first, second and third seasons within six years. Closer. than the present spacing will not be of any advantage. The requirement of irrigation water could be reduced to about 80% of its present value without any detrimental effect on root zone soil salinity. This would also alleviate the problems of waterlogging and salinity to some extent.

# Appendix 6b. Data Segwa pilot area, Ukai-Kakrapar, South Gujarat

Ukai-Kakrapar is a major irrigation project in South Gujarat. This is the biggest multi-purpose project built on the river Tapi. The Kakrapar weir was constructed as stage I and regular irrigation in its command commenced in 1957. The Ukai dam was constructed as stage I1 and regular irrigation and construction of Ukai canal distributary system was started in 1974 and completed by 1983. The total cultivable command area of the project is 3.31 lakh ha distributed in Surat (1.9 lakh ha), Valsad (0.96 lakh ha) and Bharuch (0.45 lahk ha) districts.

A 188 ha block (Fig. 15) in Segwa minor of Surat branch in Kakrapar irrigation command was selected for operational research on drainage and related water management. It is located in between 73" 2' 51" to 73" 3' 25" E longitudes and 21" 12' 18" to 21" 13' 31" N latitudes. The site encompasses four villages namely Segwa, Sevni, Asta and Vansda Rundhi in the Kamrej taluka of the Surat district at the Segwa minor ex. Surat branch of Kakrapar Left Bank Main Canal. The area is bounded in the North by Surat branch, Natural drain in South, Segwa minor in the west and a cart road in the East. This area is about 10 km inside towards East of Bombay-Ahmedabad road (National highway No. 8). A metalled road-joining village Segwa and Vansda Rundhi is bifurcating the area towards the East and the West. About one third of the area on the eastern side of this road is irrigated by tube wells while remaining is irrigated through canal water. Conjunctive use of water is also made in some parts of the canal command. Waterlogging coupled with initiation of secondary salinisation is deteriorating the soil health and crop productivity in the pilot area.

S.No	Parameters	Season 1		S	eason 2	Season 3	
1	Duration ~~		to 15 <sup>th</sup> Nov nonths)		ov to 15th Mar months)		ar to 15 <sup>th</sup> Jun months)
2	Crops grown	Sugarc	ane, Paddy	Su	Igarcane	Sugar	rcane, Paddy
3	Water sources	Car	al, Well	Cana	, Well, Drain	Cana	l, Well, Drain
4	Fraction of area occupied by irrigated crop other than	n rice	0.60		0.75		0.60
5	Fraction of area occupied by irrigated rice crop	· 1	0.15		0.00		0.15
6	Fallow /barren		0.25		0.25		0.25
7.	Rainfall (m)		0.90		0.02		0.10
8	Water used for irrigation in crops other than rice (m)		0.30		1.00		0.80
9	Water used for irrigation in rice crop (m)		0.65		0.00	1	1.40
10	Potential evapotranspiration of crops other than rice (	(m) i	0.80		0.50	· · · .	0.50
11	Potential evapotranspiration of rice crop (m)		1.35	c	0.00		0.90
12	Potential evapotranspiration from unirrigated area (m	1)	0.80		0.70	Ŧ	0.75
13	Outgoing surface runoff (m)	·	0.28		a sha anta Santa Santa	1 12 4 - 17	er den s no alem

#### Table 21. Season-wise input parameter for use in SALTMOD

S.No	Parameter	Value
1	Storage efficiency	0.75
2	Depth of root zone	0.85
3	Depth of transition zone	6.0
4	Depth of aquifer	50
5	Total pore space of root zone	0.50
6	Total pore space of transition zone	0.50
7	Total pore space of aquifer	0.60
8	Effective porosity of root zone	0.05
9	Effective porosity of transition zone	0.05
10	Effective porosity of the aquifer	0.20
11	Initial salt content of the soil moisture (dS/m) at field saturation in	
	-Root zone	12.0
	-Transition zone	7.0
	-Aquifer	
12	Mean salt concentration of irrigation water in the pilot area (dS/m)	0.9
13	Initial depth of water table from ground surface	. 1.0
14	Critical depth of water table for capillary rise	1.6

Table 22. Other input parameter for use in SALTMOD

The model SALTMOD for the Segwa minor canal command, once it was calibrated and validated with the data collected in the Segwa pilot area, predicted fairly correct trends for both the water table and soil salinity. Canal command areas are likely to get salinised if appropriate drainage intervention is not introduced. SALTMOD is an effective tool to forecast water table and soil salinity under various situations and therefore, could help in the design of drainage systems once the basic input parameters are known.

#### Appendix 6c. Data Tungabhadra pilot project, Karnataka, South-East Andra Pradesh

The Tungabhadra irrigation project is a major inter-state irrigation project of peninsular India. It was commissioned during 1953 with an irrigation potential of 3.63 lakh hectares in Karnataka and 1.6 lakh hectares in Andhra Pradesh. The project was designed for protective irrigation and almost three-fourth of the command area was earmarked for light irrigated crops.

The topography of the Left Bank Command area is rather undulating and only occasionally gives the impression of a flat plain. The canal is a contour canal and forms the boundary of the command areas of two adjacent distributaries. Field experiments on an interceptor drainage system were conducted during 1998-2001 at distributary D-36/1, covering an area of 62 ha. This distributary runs on a well-defined ridge perpendicular to the main canal. A three-tier interceptor drain of 10 cm diameter was laid at a depth of 0.75 m from the surface to intercept the incoming seepage flows from canal and prevent waterlogging and soil salinisation in low lying areas. The drains were laid at a spacing of 150 m running parallel to D-36/1 near Sindhanur during 1998. The drain placement is about 100 m away from the natural drain (Vade halla) and 1500 m from the canal. The interceptor drains are laid with corrugated and perforated PVC pipes with filters.

Performance of interceptor drainage system was evaluated in terms of changes in soil salinity, depth to water table, crop yield, cropping intensity, etc. The study was initiated during kharif 1998 and continued up to kharif 2001. Changes in soil salinity due to interceptor drainage system were evaluated by collecting soil samples at 12 grids points. The performance of interceptor drainage system was also monitored in terms of changes in depth to water table. Water table depth was recorded in each crop season after the harvest of the crop. To assess the amount of salts removed from the study area, drain discharge was measured periodically and analysed for its quality. To study the individual performance of the three parallel interceptor drains, individual drain discharge and its salinity was measured separately during Aug. 2000 to May 2001. These three drains removed almost same quantity of drainage water and their salt concentration is also more or less similar. It indicates that the drainage water removed through the drains is mostly from the paddy area than intercepted seepage from the canal.

SI. No.	Parameters	Season 1	Season 2	Season 3	Season 4	
1	Duration	1 August to 31 December (5 months)	1 January to 31 January (1 months)	1 February to 31 May (4 months)	1 June to 31 July (2 months)	
2	Crops grown	Paddy	Fallow	Paddy	Fallow	
3	Source of water	Rainfall and Irrigation water	Canal water	Irrigation water	Nil	
4	Fraction of area occupied by irrigated crops	0.95		0.95		
5	Fraction of area with unirrigated crops				-	
6	Fallow	0.05	1.0	0.05	1.0	
7	Rainfall (m)	0.55	0.0	0.05	0.0	
8	Canal water used for irrigation (m)	0.55	0.0	1.05	-	•
9	Groundwater used for irrigation	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
10	Potential evapotranspiration of crops (m)	0.72	0.075	0.73	0.34	
11	Percolation from canal system (m)	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	
12	Outgoing surface runoff (m)	0.122	0.0	0.240	0.0	;
13	Out going groundwater flow through aquifer (n	n) 0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	

Table 24.	Season-wise	input	parameters f	for use	with	SALTMOD
			Prese construction of a			

# Table 25. Other input parameters for use with SALTMOD

• '

SI. No.	Parameter	Value	1. 1
1	Storage efficiency	0.80	
2	Depth of root zone	0.50	·* • *
3	Depth of transition zone	1.50	
4	Depth of aquifer	25.0	•
5	Total pore space of root zone	0.40	
6	Total pore space of transition zone	0.40	
7	Total pore space of aquifer	0.40	
8	Effective porosity of root zone	0.20	
9	Effective porosity of transition zone	0.20	
10	Effective porosity of aquifer	0.30	
11	Initial salt content of the soil moisture (dS/m) at field saturation in root zone/transition zone/aquifer	8.5/10.0/10.0	
12	Salt concentration of canal water (dS/m)	0.30	
13	Initial depth to water table from ground surface	0.45	
14	Critical depth of water table for capillary rise	1.00	
15	Leaching efficiency of root zone/transition zone/aquifer	0.70/0.70/1 .00	

### Appendix 6d. Drainage parameters

SaltMod offers the possibility to calculate the drainage capacity factor QH1 (and also a second factor QH2 accounting for the drainage flow above drain level) form the parameters of a subsurface drainage system as explained in the figure below.



Figure 6d.1. In the SaltModM input menu opening the panel "Subsurface drainage system present" via the "Drainage system" radio button (see Figure 4) one will see the "Help with calculator button (purple color). Clicking on this button the page "calculator reaction factors drainage system" is opened (right part of the figure). After filling in the drainage parameters, and clicking on the "Calculate button"(green color), the drainage capacity factors QH1 and QH2 are produced and transmitted to the panel (orange arrows).

The factor QH1 stands for the drainage flow below drain level and QH2 for the flow above it. In the calculation the standard Hooghoudt drainage equation is used. It can be found clicking on the button "See equations" on the panel as shown in Figure. 6d.2 below.

ut   uraphs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
.eaching group (comma mogelijk)\LeachModE (as E input adj, +storage, fo	Explanation subsurface drainage —	_
Properties of the subsurface drainage system Subsurface drainage system present?	Hooghoudt's equation for subsurface drainage by pipes or ditches is: q = (8"Kb"d"h + 4"Ka"h"h) / (L"L) where: q = drain discharge ((m/day, or m3/day per m2 surface area), K = hydraulic conductivity of the soil (m/day), Kb = K below drain level, Ka = K above drain level, Ka = K above drain level, d = equivalent depth of impermeable layer below drain level (m), ") h = height of the water table above drain level midway between drains (m), L = drain spacing (m)	
Drainage     Drainage     Drainage       Drainage     reaction factor     factor QH2       depth (m)     QH1 (m/day/m)     (m/day/msq)       0.9     0.159     0	q = q1 + q2 where: q1 = 8"Kb"d"h / (L"L) , representing dainage flow below drain level q2 = 4"Ka"h"h / (L"L) , representing drainage flow above drain level The ratio QH1 = 8"kb"d / (L"L) gives the drain discharge stemming form	
See calcultions Cancel Save	<ul> <li>flow below drain level when h = 1 m. (QH1 = q1 when h = 1m.)</li> <li>The ratio QH2 = 4*Ka / (L*L) gives the drain discharge stemming from flow above drain level when h = 1 m. (QH2 = q2 when h = 1 m.)</li> <li>The ratios characterize the capacity of the subsurface drainage system.</li> <li>*) For the equivalent depth (d) of the impermeble layer see:</li> <li>W.H. van der Molen and J. Wesseling 1991.</li> <li>A solution in closed form and a series solution for the thickness of the equivalent layer in Hooghoudt's drain spacing formula.</li> <li>Agricultural Water Management 19, pp. 1-16</li> </ul>	

Figure 6d.2. Clicking on the "See equations" button on the "Subsurface drainage system present" panel, the page "Equations for subsurface drainage is opened and the definition of the Hooghoudt equation is given (blue arrows).